

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/815,048	LAGU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Emily Bernhardt	1624	

All Participants:

(1) Emily Bernhardt.

(2) Mr. Thomas Dodd.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 June 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' response places the case in condition for allowance. If the method claims were reinstated, the examiner could not allow the case at this time since the method claims would be subject to one or more rejections under 35 USC 112 based on the nature of the invention and the scope of uses covered and the state of the art drawn to phospholipase inhibition. Mr. Dodd agreed not to pursue method claims in the instant case..